Court of Appeal of the State of California

IN AND FOR THE

Fifth Appellate District

F033278 People v. Chavez

The judgment is affirmed. Vartabedian, Acting P.J.

We concur: Harris, J.; Wiseman, J.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F032681 People v. Loera et al.

The judgment is affirmed. Vartabedian, Acting P.J.

We concur: Harris, J.; Wiseman, J.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F036525 In re Johnnie P., a Minor

Counsel having failed to request oral argument in the aboveentitled case, oral argument is deemed waived in accordance with the provisions of a notice heretofore mailed to counsel and the cause is submitted.

Court of Appeal of the State of California

IN AND FOR THE

Fifth Appellate District

F036525 In re Johnnie P., a Minor

The two section 12022.5, subdivision (a) enhancement findings and the finding that appellant violated section 12021, subdivision (d) are reversed; the other jurisdictional finding, viz., the two probation violation findings and the findings that appellant committed two violations of section 422 and a single violation of section 148, are affirmed; and the disposition is vacated. The matter is remanded for disposition proceedings consistent with our holding herein.

By the Court.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F033019 In re the Marriage of Kirschenmann

The order denying appellant's motion to enforce the judgment is reversed. The matter is remanded for further proceedings not inconsistent with this opinion. Appellant shall have his costs on appeal. The trial court shall determine the question of attorney fees on appeal after the prevailing party on the motion to enforce the judgment is determined on remand. Vartabedian, Acting P.J.

We concur: Harris, J.; Levy, J.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F037860 Ellis v. Genthner

Appellant having failed to deposit the statutory filing fee of \$265.00 as required by rules 1 and 10, California Rules of Court, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the appeal in the above-entitled action is dismissed.

F035191 People v. Ludden

Counsel having failed to request oral argument in the aboveentitled case, oral argument is deemed waived in accordance with the provisions of a notice heretofore mailed to counsel and the cause is submitted.

Court of Appeal of the State of California

IN AND FOR THE

Fifth Appellate District

F035191 People v. Ludden

The judgment is affirmed.

By the Court.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F037324 People v. Orozco

No brief having been filed by appellant after notice duly given under rule 17(c) of the California Rules of Court, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the appeal in the above-entitled action is dismissed.